

### Summary of Safety and Clinical Performance IVF Basics HTF media

This Summary of Safety and Clinical Performance (SSCP) is intended to provide public access to an updated summary of the main aspects of the safety and clinical performance of the device. The SSCP is not intended to replace the Instructions For Use (IFU) as the main document to ensure the safe use of the device, nor is it intended to provide diagnostic or therapeutic suggestions to the intended users.

### 1 Device identification and general information

### 1.1 Device trade name(s)

IVF Basics HTF media

- IVF Basics HTF
- IVF Basics HTF 0.4% HSA
- IVF Basics HTF HEPES
- IVF Basics HTF HEPES 0.4% HSA
- IVF Basics SpermTec M

### **1.2** Manufacturer's name and address

FertiPro NV Industriepark Noord 32 8730 Beernem Belgium

### 1.3 Manufacturer's single registration number (SRN)

BE-MF-00000313

### 1.4 Basic UDI-DI

5411967IVFB1WA

### 1.5 Medical device nomenclature description/text

Applicable EMDN codes:

- IVF Basics HTF/ IVF Basics HTF 0.4% HSA U08020503 (Materials/culture media for assisted reproduction)
- IVF Basics HTF HEPES/ IVF Basics HTF HEPES 0.4% HSA and IVF Basics SpermTec M U08020502 (Materials/solutions for preparation/handling for assisted reproduction)

### 1.6 Class of device

Class III device according to Annex VIII of the MDR (Regulation (EU) 2017/745)

### 1.7 Year when the first certificate (CE) was issued covering the device

- CE marking according to the Council Directive 93/42/EEC (MDD): 2020
- CE marking according to Regulation (EU) 2017/745 (MDR): 16/03/2022

### 1.8 Authorized representative if applicable; name and the SRN

Not applicable

### 1.9 Notified Body (NB) and the single identification number

BSI Group the Netherlands BV NB identification number: 2797

### 2 Intended use of the device

### 2.1 Intended purpose

asic

### IVF Basics HTF / IVF Basics HTF 0.4% HSA

IVF Basics HTF/ IVF Basics HTF 0.4% HSA are designed for washing and handling of human gametes, and for handling and conditioning of human embryos. The media can be used for the following procedures:

- o Washing/handling of human ova
- o Washing/handling of spermatozoa
- o Swim-up of spermatozoa
- o Production of density gradient media
- o Washing/handling for human embryos

o Intrauterine insemination (IUI), in vitro fertilization (IVF), intracytoplasmatic sperm injection (ICSI)

- o Embryo culture from day 1 to blastocyst stage
- o Embryo transfer

### • IVF Basics HTF HEPES/ IVF Basics HTF HEPES 0.4% HSA

IVF Basics HTF HEPES/ IVF Basics HTF HEPES 0.4% HSA are designed for washing and handling of human gametes, and for handling and conditioning of human embryos. The media can be used for the following procedures:

- o Flushing for oocyte collection
- o Washing/handling of human ova
- o Washing/handling of spermatozoa
- o Swim-up of spermatozoa
- o Production of density gradient media
- o Washing/handling for human embryos
- o Intrauterine insemination (IUI), intracytoplasmatic sperm injection (ICSI)
- o Embryo transfer

### • IVF Basics SpermTec M

IVF Basics SpermTec M is designed for all human sperm washing and sperm preparation techniques including swim-up and density gradient centrifugation. It can also be used for IUI.

### 2.2 Indication(s) and intended patient group(s)

The red square in the figure below shows the steps in the assisted reproductive technologies (ART) process wherein IVF Basics HTF media can be used.



IVF Basics HTF media come into direct physical contact with human gametes or embryos. With embryo transfer and IUI, the media come into direct contact with the uterus mucosal membranes of the patient. With follicle flushing, the media come into direct contact with ovarian follicles.

IVF Basics HTF media are used in specialized laboratories performing fertilization techniques, including IVF, ICSI and sperm preparation/ analysis. The intended users are IVF professionals (lab technicians, embryologists, or medical doctors).

### 2.3 Contraindications and/or limitations

Not applicable, there are no known contraindications and/or limitations identified for IVF Basics HTF media.

### 3 Device description

### 3.1 Description of the device

- For the principle of operation, reference is made to the following documents:
  - IVF Basics HTF / IVF Basics HTF 0.4% HSA and IVF Basics HTF HEPES / IVF Basics HTF HEPES 0.4% HSA
    - See Instructions for use: FP09 I70/113 R01\_HTF
    - IVF Basics SpermTec M
    - See Instructions for use: FP09 I70 R01\_Sperm
  - The devices are for single use. However, multiple single-procedures can be performed with one bottle of medium. The medium can be used up to 7 days after bottle opening (when sterile conditions are maintained and the products are stored at 2-8°C).
  - IVF Basics HTF media are sterilized using aseptic processing techniques (filtration).
- Formulation:

 $\circ$ 

- IVF Basics HTF media and IVF Basics HTF HEPES media consist of a balanced physiologic salt solution, supplemented with carbohydrate energy sources such as glucose, pyruvate and lactate, and 10mg/L gentamicin sulphate. IVF Basics HTF media are bicarbonate buffered, while IVF Basics HTF HEPES media additionally contain HEPES. IVF Basics HTF 0.4% HSA and IVF Basics HTF HEPES 0.4% HSA are supplemented with 4g/L human serum albumin (HSA) and are ready-to-use. It is strongly suggested to supplement IVF Basics HTF and IVF Basics HTF HEPES with 4g/L HSA before use.
- IVF Basics SpermTec M is a ready-to-use HEPES-buffered medium which contains bicarbonate, physiologic salts, glucose, lactate, pyruvate, phenol red, 10mg/L gentamicin sulphate and 5g/L HSA.

The inclusion of human serum albumin (which is a medicinal substance derived from human blood plasma) is approved by the EMA (European Medicine Agency). The added gentamicin complies with Ph. Eur. Monograph Standard 0331, is EDQM-certified and is approved by the MEB (Medicine Evaluation Board, competent authority the Netherlands).

### 3.2 A reference to previous generation(s) or variants if such exist, and a description of the differences

Not applicable, no previous generation of the device has been brought on the market by FertiPro NV.

### 3.3 Description of any accessories which are intended to be used in combination with the device

Not applicable, no accessories identified.

### 3.4 Description of any other devices and products which are intended to be used in combination with the device

For IVF Basics HTF and IVF Basics HTF HEPES (media without HSA): as indicated in the instructions for use, it is strongly suggested to supplement the medium with pharmaceutical grade human Albumin Solution with registered Plasma Master File before use.

### 4 Risks and warnings

### 4.1 Residual risks and undesirable effects

The output from the clinical evaluation reports of IVF Basics HTF media, Human Serum Albumin (HSA) and/or gentamicin are taken into account in the risk assessment report of IVF Basics HTF media in order to determine the benefits/ risk ratio.

The only remaining residual risk is the inclusion of HSA in IVF Basics HTF media (except for the variants without human albumin). The inclusion of human serum albumin (which is a medicinal substance derived from human blood plasma) is approved by the EMA.

The benefit of adding HSA in IVF Basics HTF media is clear since it acts as:

- pH regulator
- Osmotic regulator

asics

- Stabilizator of cell membrane
- Nutrient and carrier of growth promoting substances (i.e. amino acids, vitamins, fatty acids, hormones, growth factors)
- Scavenger (of for example toxins and waste products from cell metabolism)
- Surfactant (anti-adhesion), thereby facilitating gamete and embryo manipulation

A potential risk associated is batch-to batch variation and the transmission of viral or prion-carried diseases:

- Batch-to-batch variation is a problem because of the inherent variability in donor blood. Due to this fluctuation, standardization of procedures remains difficult. For this reason
  - A mouse embryo assay is routinely performed as part of the batch release criteria of HSA (i.e. incoming inspection).
  - A mouse embryo assay and human sperm survival assay are routinely performed as part of the IVF Basics HTF media batch release criteria.
- Transmission of viral or prion-carried diseases:
  - HSA is manufactured with a pasteurization procedure that has led to an excellent viral safety record over the 50 years of clinical use. Only Plasbumin-25 or Albunorm 25 are used as a source of albumin, since these products are covered by a valid Plasma Master File, and the EMA has positively evaluated the usefulness, safety and benefit of the inclusion of these products in IVF Basics HTF media.
  - However, despite the rigorous quality controls, all cell culture media should still be treated as potentially infectious. At present, there is no known test method that can offer full assurance that products derived from human blood will not transmit infectious agents.
  - Direct physical contact occurs between IVF Basics HTF media and human gametes or embryos. With embryo transfer and IUI, the media come into direct contact with the uterus mucosal membranes of the patient. With follicle flushing, the media come into direct contact with ovarian follicles.
  - The instructions for use/MSDS clearly warn that the media contain human albumin solution and that protective clothing should be worn.

Based on analysis it is concluded that the benefit of adding HSA to IVF Basics HTF media outweighs the risk, and the overall residual risk related to the use of IVF Basics HTF media with inclusion of HSA has been judged acceptable.

Furthermore, following information is provided on this to the customer:

- Product composition is clearly indicated on the labels and instructions for use
- Instructions for use contains the following warnings:
  - Standard measures to prevent infections resulting from the use of medicinal products prepared from human blood or plasma include selection of donors, screening of individual donations and plasma pools for specific markers of infection and the inclusion of effective manufacturing steps for the inactivation/removal of viruses. Despite this, when medicinal products prepared from human blood or plasma are administered, the possibility of transmitting infective agents cannot be totally excluded. This also applies to unknown or emerging viruses and other pathogens. There are no reports of proven virus transmissions with albumin manufactured to European Pharmacopoeia specifications by established processes.
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Handle specimens as if capable of transmitting HIV or hepatitis.
  - All blood products should be treated as potentially infectious. Source material used to manufacture this product was tested and found non-reactive for HbsAg and negative for Anti-HIV-1/-2, HIV-1, HBV, and HCV. Furthermore, source material has been tested for parvovirus B19 and found to be non-elevated. No known test methods can offer assurances that products derived from human blood will not transmit infectious agents.

No other known undesirable side-effects are identified.

### 4.2 Warnings and precautions

asics

Attention should be paid to the following additional warnings and precautions (as described in the instructions for use):

- Do not use the product if:
  - o it becomes discoloured, shows any evidence of microbial contamination or cloudy
  - o the seal on the bottle broken or open when the product is delivered
  - expiry date has been exceeded
- Do not freeze before use
- Do not re-sterilize after opening
- Products which include gentamicin should not be used on a patient that has a known allergy to gentamicin or similar antibiotics.
- Aseptic technique should be used to avoid possible contamination even when the product contains gentamicin.
- Always wear protective clothing when handling specimens.
- Any serious incident (as defined in European Medical Device Regulation 2017/745) that has
  occurred should be reported to Gynotec BV and, if applicable, to the competent authority of
  the EU Member State in which the user and/or patient is established.

### 4.3 Summary of any field safety corrective action (FSCA, including field safety notices) if applicable

Nota applicable. So far, there were no field safety corrective actions with regard to IVF Basics HTF media.

### 5 Summary of clinical evaluation and post-market clinical follow-up (PMCF)

#### 5.1 Real-world evidence analyses

A literature search is performed to investigate whether clinical data are consistent with embryological and clinical ART outcomes described in the benchmark papers from the ESHRE (European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology) (see tables below).

• Embryology outcomes, the following minimal competency limits are reported:

| ESHRE Special Interest Group of Embryology, ESHRE. 2017.<br>The Vienna consensus: report of an expert meeting on the | ICSI normal fertilization rate: | ≥65%<br>(lower range: 55%) |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| development of art laboratory performance indicators',<br>Hum Reprod Open, 2017: hox011                              | IVF normal fertilization rate:  | ≥60%<br>(lower range: 50%) |

ART outcomes, the following rates are reported:

| Smeenk, J., C. Wyns,  | IVF   | ICSI   | Frozen embryo<br>transfer (FET)   | IUI  |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| C. De Geyter, M.<br>Kupka, C. Bergh, I.<br>Cuevas Saiz, D. De<br>Neubourg, K. | Clinical pregnancy rate<br>per aspiration: 27.0%<br>(range: 18.4 – 53.1%)         | Clinical pregnancy<br>rate per aspiration:<br>24.9%<br>(range: 16.0 – 46.1%)               | Pregnancy rate per<br>thawing:<br><u>36.5%</u><br>( <u>range: 22.5 – 50.1%</u> )  | Using husband<br>semen (IUI-H):                                  |
| Rezabek, A. Tandler-<br>Schneider, I.<br>Rugescu, and V.<br>Goossens. 2023.   | Clinical pregnancy rate<br>per transfer: <u>38.1%</u><br>(range: 27.4 – 63.0%)    | Clinical pregnancy<br>rate per transfer:<br><u>37.2%</u><br>( <u>range: 26.9 – 52.1%</u> ) | Pregnancy rate per<br>transfer:<br><u>37.1%</u><br>( <u>range: 22.5 – 56.0%</u> ) | Delivery rate per cycle:<br><u>9.4%</u><br>(range: 1.9 - 23.1%)  |
| 'ART in Europe,<br>2019: results<br>generated from<br>European registries     | Delivery rate per<br>aspiration:<br><u>19.3%</u><br>( <u>range: 12.3 – 29.4%)</u> | Delivery rate per<br>aspiration:<br><u>17.8%</u><br>( <u>range: 10.6 – 28.6%</u> )         | Delivery rate per<br>thawing:<br><b>25.8%</b><br>(range: 7.2 – 41.4%)             | Using donor semen<br>(IUI-D):                                    |
| by ESHRE', Hum<br>Reprod, 38(12):<br>2321–2338.                               | Delivery rate per<br>transfer:<br><b>27.6%</b><br>(range: 17.9 – 45.9%)           | Delivery rate per<br>transfer:<br><b>27.0%</b><br>(range: 12.1 – 39.4%)                    | Delivery rate per<br>transfer:<br><b>26.2%</b><br>(range: 8.4 – 42.4%)            | Delivery rate per cycle:<br><u>14.3%</u><br>(range: 6.5 – 27.9%) |

There were <u>18</u> papers retrieved in literature studying the safety and performance of IVF Basics HTF media. Overall, it can be concluded from these papers that embryological and clinical ART outcomes,



when IVF Basics HTF media were used, fall within the range of the outcomes described in the benchmark papers. This suggests a safe and adequate performance of IVF Basics HTF media.

A references list to the papers is provided below:

| Selected articles describing the use of IVF Basics HTF media in sperm processing:       |                                     |                       |  |  |
|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| (van Rijswijk et al. 2017)  | (Hessel et al. 2015)                | (Punjabi et al. 2018) |  |  |
| (Lemmens et al. 2016)   | (van der Houwen et al. 2014)        | (Punjabi et al. 2021) |  |  |
| (Punjabi 2022)  | (Sugihara 2023) (Sugihara et al. 20 |                       |  |  |
| Selected articles describing the use of IVF Basics HTF media in oocyte/embryo handling: |                                     |                       |  |  |
| (Kleijkers et al. 2016)   | (Vergouw et al. 2011)               | (Wathlet et al. 2011) |  |  |
| (Vergouw et al. 2008)   | (De Vos et al. 2008)                | (Mackens et al. 2020) |  |  |
| (Decleer et al. 2020)   | (Adriaenssens et al. 2019)          |                       |  |  |

### 5.2 Device registries

In addition to the above, ART outcomes of seven IVF clinics located in The Netherlands are included in the clinical evaluation report of IVF Basics HTF media. The ART outcomes of these clinics are consistent with the national ART outcomes of The Netherlands This suggests a safe and adequate performance of IVF Basics HTF media.

### 5.3 Analysis complaints, customer/market feedback, vigilance

No additional actions were initiated, based on the cumulative nature and/or occurrence of all complaints, customer/market feedback and vigilance (if any) during the PMCF analysis.

### 5.4 An overall summary of the clinical performance and safety

Overall, it can be concluded that IVF Basics HTF media function as stated by the manufacturer<sup>1</sup>.

This is established by clinical data obtained during literature search which demonstrate that embryological and clinical ART outcomes, when IVF Basics HTF media were used, fall within the range of the outcomes described in the benchmark papers. In addition, clinical ART outcomes from IVF centers that use IVF Basics HTF media are consistent with the national ART outcomes. Moreover, there is no evidence from the clinical data, as well as from the registered complains, market/customer feedback and/or vigilance that IVF Basics HTF media is toxic for gametes and embryos, nor that the media have risk for mutagenity, oncogenicity, teratogenity, carcinogenity, cytotoxicity, materialmediated pyrogenicity, allergenicity and irritancy for patients and users.

### 5.5 Ongoing or planned post-market clinical follow-up

PMCF for IVF Basics HTF media (including PMCF for the HSA and gentamicin component included in IVF Basics HTF media) will be performed at least yearly and will include analyses of real-world evidence by performing a literature search, screening of device registers for clinical data, as well as analysis of all complaints, customer/market feedback, vigilance.

This SSCP will be updated with information from the PMCF, if this is needed to ensure that any clinical and/or safety information described in this document remains correct and complete.

### 6 **Possible diagnostic or therapeutic alternatives**

Media with similar intended use as IVF Basics HTF media are available on the European Union or international markets. Besides these media, there are no other alternative treatments that can be used.

### 7 Suggested profile and training for users

IVF Basics HTF media are used in specialized laboratories performing fertilization techniques, including IVF, ICSI and sperm preparation/ analysis. The intended users are IVF professionals (lab technicians, embryologists, or medical doctors).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Note that limited data is available on the clinical use of IVF Basics SpermTec M. Therefore, clinical safety and performance of IVF Basics SpermTec M was also based on equivalence to IVF Basics HTF HEPES (HSA).

### 8 Reference to any applicable common specification(s), harmonized standard(s)

The following technical standards apply to IVF Basics HTF media:

basics

**F** 

| MDR 2017/745   | European Medical Device Regulation 2017/745 of 5 April 2017.  |
|--|---|
| ISO 13485:2016/ EN ISO 13485:2016<br>(Amd 11:2021)     | Medical devices — Quality management systems — Requirements for regulatory purposes.  |
| ISO 10993-1:2018/ EN ISO 10993-<br>1:2020              | Biological evaluation of medical devices Part 1: Evaluation and testing.  |
| (EN) ISO 10993-3:2014                                  | Biological evaluation of medical devices Part 3: Tests for genotoxicity, carcinogenicity and reproductive toxicity.   |
| (EN) ISO 10993-5:2009                                  | Biological evaluation of medical devices Part 5: Tests for in vitro cytotoxicity.   |
| (EN) ISO 10993-9:2021                                  | Biological evaluation of medical devices Part 9: Framework for identification<br>and guantification of potential degradation products.  |
| (EN) ISO 10993-10:2023                                 | Biological evaluation of medical devices Part 10: Tests for irritation and skin sensitization.  |
| ISO 10993-11:2017/EN ISO 10993-<br>11:2018             | Biological evaluation of medical devices – Part 11: Tests for systemic toxicity.  |
| ISO 10993-18:2020 (Amd 1/2022)/EN<br>ISO 10993-18:2020 | Biological evaluation of medical devices – Part 18: Chemical characterization of<br>medical device materials within a risk management process.  |
| (EN) ISO 10993-23:2021                                 | Biological evaluation of medical devices - Part 23: Tests for irritation.   |
| (EN) ISO 11737-1:2018, A1:2021                         | Sterilization of health care products - Microbiological methods - Part 1:<br>Determination of a population of microorganisms on products  |
| ISO 13408-1:2008 (Amd 1:2013)/EN<br>ISO 13408-1:2015   | Aseptic processing of health care products – Part 1: general requirements.  |
| (EN) ISO 13408-2:2018                                  | Aseptic processing of health care products – Part 2: Filtration.  |
| (EN) ISO 13408-6:2021                                  | Aseptic processing of health care products – Part 6: Isolator systems.  |
| ( <u>EN)</u> ISO 14644-1:2015                          | Cleanrooms and associated controlled environments – Part 1: Classification of<br>air cleanliness by particle concentration.   |
| (EN) ISO 14644-3:2019                                  | Cleanrooms and associated controlled environments - Part 3: Test methods.   |
| ISO 14971:2019/ EN ISO 14971:2019<br>(Amd 11:2021)     | Medical devices – Application of risk management to medical devices.  |
| (EN) ISO 15223-1:2021                                  | Medical devices - Symbols to be used with medical device labels, labelling and information to be supplied - Part 1: General requirements.   |
| (EN) ISO 17665-1:2006                                  | Sterilization of health care products – Moist heat – Part 1: Requirements for the development, validation and routine control of a sterilization process for medical devices.   |
| (EN) ISO 20417:2021                                    | Information to be supplied by the manufacturer.   |
| ISO 22442-1: 2020/EN ISO 22442-1:<br>2021              | Medical devices utilizing animal tissues and their derivatives: Part 1: Application of risk management  |
| ISO 23640:2011/EN ISO 23640:2015                       | In vitro diagnostic medical devices: Evaluation of stability of in vitro diagnostic reagents (Applicable with exclusion of the following sections: No standard is available for the evaluation of stability of Medical Devices, therefore this standard is used as guideline for the set-up of the stability testing) |
| EN 556-2:2015  | Sterilization of medical devices – Requirements for medical devices to be designated 'STERILE' –Requirements for aseptically processed medical devices.   |
| IEC 62366-1:2015 (Amd 1:2020)                          | Medical devices - Part 1: Application of usability engineering to medical devices   |
| NBOG BPG 2014-3  | Guidance for manufacturers and Notified Bodies on reporting of Design<br>Changes and Changes of the Quality System.   |
| EMA/CHMP/578661/2010                                   | EMA recommendation on the procedural aspects and dossier requirements for<br>the consultation to the EMA by a notified body on an ancillary medicinal<br>substance or an ancillary human blood derivate incorporated in a medical<br>device or active implantable medical device.                                     |
| <u>Ph. Eur. 331</u>                                    | European Pharmacopoeia monograph 331 - Gentamicin sulfate   |
| Ph. Eur. 0255  | European Pharmacopoeia monograph 0255 - Human albumin solution  |

## 9 Summary of the safety and clinical performance of the device intended for patients

Not applicable, since IVF Basics HTF media are for professional use only.

# <u>basics</u>

### 10 Revision history

| SSCP revision<br>number | Date<br>issued | Change description                                   | Revision validated by the Notified Body   |
|-------------------------|----------------|--|---|
| A.3                     | 01/09/2021     | Initial validated version                            | <ul> <li>Version A.3 is validated by the Notified Body</li> <li>Validation language: English</li> </ul> |
| A.4                     | 26/01/2022     | Update 2022  | Not submitted for validation, as there were no<br>significant changes that required validation.         |
| A.5                     | 07/03/2023     | Update 2023  | Not submitted for validation, as there were no significant changes that required validation.            |
| B.1                     | 08/11/2023     | Name change to IVF Basics<br>HTF media (CC220322-03) | <ul> <li>Version B.1 is validated by the Notified Body</li> <li>Validation language: English</li> </ul> |
| <u>B.2</u>              | 22/02/2024     | Update 2024  | Not submitted for validation, as there were no<br>significant changes that required validation.         |

### 11 References

- Adriaenssens, T., I. Van Vaerenbergh, W. Coucke, I. Segers, G. Verheyen, E. Anckaert, M. De Vos, and J. Smitz. 2019. 'Cumulus-corona gene expression analysis combined with morphological embryo scoring in single embryo transfer cycles increases live birth after fresh transfer and decreases time to pregnancy', *J Assist Reprod Genet*, 36: 433-43.
- De Vos, A., L. Van Landuyt, H. Van Ranst, A. Vandermonde, V. D'Haese, J. Sterckx, P. Haentjens, P. Devroey, and J. Van der Elst. 2008. 'Randomized sibling-oocyte study using recombinant human hyaluronidase versus bovine-derived Sigma hyaluronidase in ICSI patients', *Hum Reprod*, 23: 1815-9.
- Decleer, W., F. Comhaire, K. De Clerck, W. Vanden Berghe, G. Devriendt, and K. Osmanagaoglu. 2020. 'Preconception nutraceutical food supplementation can prevent oxidative and epigenetic DNA alterations induced by ovarian stimulation for IVF and increases pregnancy rates', *Facts Views Vis Obgyn*, 12: 23-30.
- Hessel, M., J. C. Robben, K. W. D'Hauwers, D. D. Braat, and L. Ramos. 2015. 'The influence of sperm motility and cryopreservation on the treatment outcome after intracytoplasmic sperm injection following testicular sperm extraction', *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*, 94: 1313-21.
- Kleijkers, S. H., E. Mantikou, E. Slappendel, D. Consten, J. van Echten-Arends, A. M. Wetzels, M. van Wely, L. J. Smits, A. P. van Montfoort, S. Repping, J. C. Dumoulin, and S. Mastenbroek. 2016.
   'Influence of embryo culture medium (G5 and HTF) on pregnancy and perinatal outcome after IVF: a multicenter RCT', *Hum Reprod*, 31: 2219-30.
- Lemmens, L., S. Kos, C. Beijer, J. W. Brinkman, F. A. van der Horst, L. van den Hoven, D. C. Kieslinger, N. J. van Trooyen-van Vrouwerff, A. Wolthuis, J. C. Hendriks, A. M. Wetzels, and Laboratories Semen Section of the Dutch Foundation for Quality Assessment in Medical. 2016. 'Predictive value of sperm morphology and progressively motile sperm count for pregnancy outcomes in intrauterine insemination', *Fertil Steril*, 105: 1462-8.
- Mackens, S., S. Pareyn, P. Drakopoulos, T. Deckers, L. Mostinckx, C. Blockeel, I. Segers, G. Verheyen, S. Santos-Ribeiro, H. Tournaye, and M. De Vos. 2020. 'Outcome of in-vitro oocyte maturation in patients with PCOS: does phenotype have an impact?', *Hum Reprod*, 35: 2272-79.
- Punjabi, U., D. De Neubourg, H. Van Mulders, W. Cassauwers, and K. Peeters. 2018. 'Validating semen processing for an intrauterine program should take into consideration the inputs, actions and the outputs of the process', *Andrologia*.
- Punjabi, U., H. Van Mulders, L. Van de Velde, I. Goovaerts, K. Peeters, W. Cassauwers, T. Lyubetska, K. Clasen, P. Janssens, O. Zemtsova, E. Roelant, and D. De Neubourg. 2021. 'Time intervals between semen production, initiation of analysis, and IUI significantly influence clinical pregnancies and live births', *J Assist Reprod Genet*, 38: 421-28.
- Punjabi, U.; Roelant, E.; Peeters, K.; Goovaerts, I.; Van Mulders, H.; De Neubourg, D. 2022.
   'Variability in Sperm DNA Fragmentation in Men with Mild/Unexplained Subfertility in a Prospective Longitudinal Intrauterine Insemination Trial.', *Life*, 12: 1826.
- Sugihara, A., U. Punjabi, T. Chimienti, I. Goovaerts, K. Peeters, J. Bouziotis, and D. De Neubourg. 2023. 'Sperm DNA Fragmentation after Cryopreservation and Sperm Selection Has No Implications for Clinical Pregnancies and Live Births after Intrauterine Insemination with Donor Sperm', J Pers Med, 13.



Sugihara, A.; Punjabi, U.; Roelant, E.; De Neubourg, D. 2023. 'Is There a Relationship between Sperm DNA Fragmentation and Intra-Uterine Insemination Outcome in Couples with Unexplained or Mild Male Infertility? Results from the ID-Trial.', *Life*, 13: 11.

van der Houwen, L. E., A. M. Schreurs, R. Schats, M. W. Heymans, C. B. Lambalk, P. G. Hompes, and V. Mijatovic. 2014. 'Efficacy and safety of intrauterine insemination in patients with moderate-to-severe endometriosis', *Reprod Biomed Online*, 28: 590-8.

van Rijswijk, J., M. R. Caanen, V. Mijatovic, C. G. Vergouw, P. M. van de Ven, C. B. Lambalk, and R. Schats. 2017. 'Immobilization or mobilization after IUI: an RCT', *Hum Reprod*, 32: 2218-24.

- Vergouw, C. G., L. L. Botros, P. Roos, J. W. Lens, R. Schats, P. G. Hompes, D. H. Burns, and C. B. Lambalk. 2008. 'Metabolomic profiling by near-infrared spectroscopy as a tool to assess embryo viability: a novel, non-invasive method for embryo selection', *Hum Reprod*, 23: 1499-504.
- Wathlet, S., T. Adriaenssens, I. Segers, G. Verheyen, H. Van de Velde, W. Coucke, R. Ron El, P. Devroey, and J. Smitz. 2011. 'Cumulus cell gene expression predicts better cleavage-stage embryo or blastocyst development and pregnancy for ICSI patients', *Hum Reprod*, 26: 1035-51.